

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/697,331

Applicant(s)

LIESS ET AL.

Examiner

Thuy V. Tran

Art Unit

2821

## All Participants:

(1) Thuy V. Tran.

(2) Kenneth D. Labudda.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 2 May 2005

Time: 2:30 PM ET

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*11 and 14*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**THUY V. TRAN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A teleconference was held to discuss about the corrections to be made to claims 11 and 14 to correct the typographical error therein and to more clearly define the claim invention, resulting in an authorized Examiner's Amendment including:

Claim 11, line 20, replace "to" with -- series with--;

Claim 14, line 15, insert --and-- between "(Lres1)" and "the"; and

Claim 14, line 21, replace "to" with --in series with--.